



# **Anode Potential Control for Electric Vehicle Fast** Charging on Cell and Module Level

Kareem Abo Gamra, Raphael Urban, Christian Allgäuer, Markus Lienkamp

## Background

Ultra-fast charging in 15 minutes or less is increasingly demanded for electric vehicles to offer a similar experience to internal combustion engine vehicle refueling [1]. To achieve this, several past studies have proposed model-based fast charging to prevent lithium plating by maintaining positive anode potentials [2]. Only few of these studies however examine pack-level degradation behavior, although past research has indicated, that pack-level behavior cannot be extrapolated from cell-level analysis [3, 4]. In the context of model-based charging strategies, interconnected cells pose a challenge due to electrical and thermal coupling effects, as well as unknown current distributions between the cells [5]. This necessitates examinations of both individual cells and battery modules, to allow direct comparison and evaluate the applicability of model-based fast charging for different use-cases.

## **Methodology**

To analyze the scalability of model-based fast charging to module-level, a commercially available electrochemical cell model is deployed to implement anode-potential control using a PID-Controller in MATLAB/Simulink and generating charge profile tables for use on a commercially available 18650 battery cell. Three cells are aged at a charge rate of 4C (10A) using anode potential control at temperatures of 0°C, 25°C and 40°C.

**Cell under study** 

Property	Value
Manufacturer	TerraE
Туре	INR18650-25P



Additionally, two modules consisting of **4 cells in parallel** are constructed and laser-welded for 4C aging at 0°C and 25°C. The electrical coupling is modelled using PID-controllers to adjust the current to achieve identical voltages across the individual cells. Checkups are performed every 25 or 50 cycles at **25°C** and consist of the following:

- C/3 constant-current constant-voltage (CCCV) charge and discharge for capacity determination
- C/25 pseudo-open-circuit-voltage (pOCV) measurement
- Hybrid-pulse-power-characterization (HPPC) at 25%, 50% and 75% state-of-charge (SOC) using charge and discharge pulses of C/3, 1C, 2C, 3C and 4C.

The cell models are updated using the measured capacity and resistance to generate new charge profiles, thus achieving derating over lifetime. CCCV aging measurements at different Crates and temperatures are used as a reference.





#### Average charging times using anode potential control

**Capacity loss** 

**Results** 

**Resistance increase** 

Module 25°C





This may be due to the increased charging speeds, which may indicate growing model inaccuracies due to unknown individual cell states within the modules.

Anode potential control offers similar or reduced aging compared to time-equivalent CCCV charging regarding longevity.

## Key Takeaways

- Anode potential control poses a viable charging strategy to increase charging speeds without risking significantly accelerated degradation on single cell and module level.
  - Application of model-based fast charging on module level requires precise knowledge of electrical and thermal interactions between cells to maintain cycle life performance. Unknown aging trajectories of individual cells may pose a challenge.

### **Future Research**

- Advanced aging diagnostics and post-mortem analysis to evaluate charging strategy and ambient temperature influence on occurring aging mechanisms.
- Development of electro-thermal modelling methodology to predict individual cell behavior within battery packs and derive module-adjusted charging strategies.

500

600

Technische Universität München         TUM School of Engineering & Design         Institute of Automotive Technology         Acknowledgment         Financial support was provided by the         German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK)         within the research projects "ultraBatt" and under grant number 01MV21015D.         The authors also thank Michael Kick, Christian Geiger and Dekun Ren for their support of this study, as well as Batemo for providing the cell model.	DLR Projektträger	<ul> <li>References:</li> <li>[1] Dufek, E. J., Abraham, D. P., Bloom, I., Chen, B., Chinnam, P. R., Colclasure, A. M., Gering, K. L., Keyser, M., Kim, S., Mai, W., Robertson, D., Rodrigues, M. F., Smith, K., Tanim, T. R., Usseglio-Viretta, F., &amp; Weddle, P. J. (2022). Developing extreme fast charge battery protocols – A review spanning materials to systems. Journal of Power Sources, 526, 231129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2022.231129</li> <li>[2] Wassiliadis, N., Kriegler, J., Abo Gamra, K., &amp; Lienkamp, M. (2023). Model-based health-aware fast charging to mitigate the risk of lithium plating and prolong the cycle life of lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles. Journal of Power Sources, 561, 232586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2022.232586</li> <li>[3] Tanim, T. R., Shirk, M., Bewley, R. L., Dufek, E. J., &amp; Liaw, B. Y. (2018). Fast charge implications: Pack and cell analysis and comparison. Journal of Power Sources, 381, 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.01.091</li> <li>[4] Liu, X., Ai, W., Naylor-Marlow, M., Patel, Y., &amp; Wu, B. (2019). The effect of cell-to-cell variations and thermal gradients on the performance and degradation of lithium-ion battery packs. Applied Energy, 248, 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.04.108</li> <li>[5] Yang, S., Gao, X. L., Li, Y., Xie, W., Guo, B., Zhang, L., &amp; Liu, X. (2021). Minimum lithium plating overpotential control based charging strategy for parallel battery module prevents side reactions. Journal of Power Sources, 494, 229772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2021.229772</li> </ul>
--	-------------------	--